Tunneling Through a One-Dimensional Square Potential Barrier Under Fluctuations in an Observer’s Frame of Reference
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Abstract: This study reports tunneling through a one-dimensional (1D) square potential barrier (SPB) under fluctuations in an observer’s frame of reference (OFR). To date, tunneling through an SPB has been studied under the assumption that the OFR remains constant throughout the tunneling measurements; therefore, the change of the tunneling probability when the OFR is assumed to fluctuate remains unanswered. In this paper, a 1D SPB is considered under fluctuations of an OFR. The average transmission probability of a particle through an SPB for two types of OFR fluctuations (periodic-square-wave and periodic-sawtooth-wave fluctuations) is formulated in time representations. Under these types of fluctuations, the average transmission probability gradually increases with a particle’s energy, which is saturated to the transmission probability in the case of the stationary OFR at a much greater energy than the amplitude of the fluctuations. The average transmission probability is much higher at the amplitude of the fluctuations in the case of periodic-square-wave fluctuations. Therefore, the average transmission probability with a particle’s energy has the potential to reveal the distribution of OFR fluctuations.
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1. Introduction

Tunneling is the quantum mechanical phenomenon in which a particle passes through a potential barrier. It is fundamental to understanding the wave nature of particles [1-3] and plays a central role in various practical applications, such as in radioactive disintegration [4-11], electron tunneling devices [12-15], quantum computation [16-22], and scanning tunneling microscopy [23-29].

Particle tunneling can occur in a potential barrier with a thickness in the order of nanometers or less [23-25]. Tunneling can be estimated in terms of Heisenberg’s uncertainty principle [3], which holds that measuring the momentum (position) of a particle can be conducted with precision, whereas the resulting position disturbance causes the particle to have a result of the momentum disturbance of the particle is limited by Planck’s constant divided by the uncertainty of the momentum (position) of the particle. When a particle is located at a potential barrier, it can pass through the barrier. This is because a particle has uncertainty in terms of its momentum; additionally, according to Heisenberg’s uncertainty principle, the resulting position disturbance of the particle can be greater than the width of the barrier. For an example, a square potential barrier (SPB) provides an exact solution for calculating the transmission probability of a particle; it can quantitatively calculate tunneling as well as provide a realistic approximation of particle tunneling.

In this paper, particle tunneling was quantitatively studied according to the transmission probability of a particle through a potential barrier. To date, tunneling has been examined under the assumption that an observer’s frame of reference (OFR) remains constant. In much of the research, the OFR is assumed to be zero throughout the tunneling measurements. Therefore, the change of the tunneling probability when the OFR is assumed to fluctuate currently remains unanswered.

Recently, a novel observer effect induced by OFR fluctuations was proposed in an Einstein solid [30] and a
single-electron transistor (SET) [31]. The molar specific heat at a constant volume of an Einstein solid as a function of temperature revealed the distribution of OFR fluctuations, which exhibited a peak and convergence of three times the level of the gas constant at low temperatures under periodic-square-wave and periodic-sawtooth-wave fluctuations, respectively. Regarding the SET, the average current in an SET can also reveal the distribution of OFR fluctuations. An SET comprised a source, drain, and single channel. The average current in it exhibited an asymmetric zero-bias Coulomb peak as a function of the energy of the channel under periodic-square-wave and periodic-sawtooth-wave fluctuations—the amplitude of which gradually increased as the amplitude of the fluctuations increased. The amplitude of the zero-bias Coulomb peak was greater in the case of periodic-square-wave fluctuations.

An observer effect induced by fluctuations of the OFR can be investigated if the reference of energy of a particle is matched to an OFR. In this study, the average transmission probability of a particle to transmit an SPB [32] was investigated under OFR fluctuations. The average transmission probability was formulated for two types of fluctuations in time representations, namely, periodic-square-wave fluctuation and periodic-sawtooth-wave fluctuation. Under these types of fluctuations, the average transmission probability monotonically increases with the energy of the particle, which is saturated to the transmission probability in the case of a stationary OFR at a much greater energy than the amplitude of the fluctuations. The average transmission probability rapidly increases just above the energy corresponding to the amplitude of fluctuations of the OFR in the case of periodic-square-wave fluctuations. Therefore, the average transmission probability with a particle’s energy may be able to reveal the distribution of OFR fluctuations.

2. Average Transmission Probability Through a One-Dimensional Square Potential Barrier

Figure 1 shows a schematic of a one-dimensional (1D) SPB, where \( V_b \) and \( L \) are the potential energy and width of the barrier, respectively, \( E \) the energy of a particle, and \( E_{\text{ref}}(t) \) the energy of an OFR at time \( t \). In this study, \( E_{\text{ref}}(t) \) was assumed to be constant at a time interval between \( t \) and \( t + dt \), where \( dt \ll \Delta t = (t_f - t_i) \). Here, \( t_i \) and \( t_f \) are the initial and final times, respectively. If a particle from left (at \( x \ll 0 \)) was located at the 1D SPB, then the particle’s transmission through the barrier was monitored by the observer far from the 1D SPB (at \( x \gg L \)).

In terms of the energy of a particle, \( E \geq V_b \), the wave function of a particle under the 1D SPB at \( t \), \( \Psi(x, t) = \varphi(x) e^{-iEt/\hbar} \), where \( \varphi(x) \) is expressed as

\[
\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} 
    e^{ikx} + Re^{-ikx} & \text{for } -\infty < x < 0 \\
    A e^{i\beta x} + B e^{-i\beta x} & \text{for } 0 \leq x < L, \\
    Te^{i\alpha x} & \text{for } L \leq x < \infty 
\end{cases}
\]

where \( k = \sqrt{2mE/\hbar} \), \( \alpha = \sqrt{2m(E - V_b)/\hbar} \), \( q = \sqrt{2mE(t)/\hbar} \). Here, \( m \) is the mass of the particle, \( h \) the Planck’s constant divided by \( 2\pi \), \( E(t) = E - E_{\text{ref}}(t) \) the measured energy for \( E \) at time \( t \), and \( E \geq E_{\text{ref}}(t) \). \( R \) and \( T \) is the coefficients of reflection and transmission of the particle, respectively. The transmission probability of the particle through the 1D SPB, \( 1 - |R|^2 \), can be expressed as

\[
1 - |R|^2 = \frac{2\beta^2 q^2}{(\beta^2 - k^2)(\beta^2 + k^2) + 4\beta^2 k^2 + (\beta^2 + k^2)(\beta^2 + q^2)\cosh(2\beta L)} \tag{4}
\]

For the energy of an OFR at time \( t \), \( E_{\text{ref}}(t) \leq E < V_b \), the average transmission probability through the 1D SPB with time interval \( \Delta t \), \( 1 - |R_{\text{avg}}|^2 \), can be expressed as

\[
1 - |R_{\text{avg}}|^2 = \frac{2\beta^2 q^2}{(\beta^2 - k^2)(\beta^2 - q^2) + 4\beta^2 k^2 q^2 + (\beta^2 + k^2)(\beta^2 + q^2)\cosh(2\beta L)} dt, \tag{5}
\]

For an observer with a stationary frame of reference (\( E_{\text{ref}}(t) = 0 \)), the corresponding transmission probability, \( 1 - |R_0|^2 \), can be expressed as

\[
1 - |R_0|^2 = \frac{4E(V_b-E)}{4E(V_b-E) + V_b^2 \sinh^2(L/\sqrt{2mE})/\hbar} \tag{6}
\]

It has been found that the transmission probability of a particle in stationary OFR, \( 1 - |R_0|^2 \), is 0 at \( E = 0 \) and then
3. Average Transmission Probability Through a 1D SPB Under Periodic-Square-Wave Fluctuations

Given periodic $E_{\text{ref}}(t) = \begin{cases} -\varepsilon_1 & \text{for } 0 \leq t < \tau_1/2 \\ \varepsilon_1 & \text{for } \tau_1/2 \leq t \leq \tau_1 \end{cases}$ (figure 2(a)), the measured energy for $E$ at time $t$, $E(t)$, can be expressed as

$$E(t) = \begin{cases} E + \varepsilon_1 & \text{for } 0 \leq t < \tau_1/2 \\ E - \varepsilon_1 & \text{for } \tau_1/2 \leq t \leq \tau_1 \end{cases}$$

where $\varepsilon_1$ and $\tau_1$ are the amplitude and period of the periodic-square-wave fluctuations, respectively.

### 3.1. Periodic-Square-Wave Fluctuations of a Half Period

For an observer with a fluctuating frame of reference by means of half-period periodic-square-wave fluctuations, the corresponding transmission probability, $1 - |R_{12}|^2$, is expressed as

$$1 - |R_{12}|^2 = \frac{8(V_b-E)^{\sqrt{E/\varepsilon_1}}}{-(V_b-2E)(V_b-2E-\varepsilon_1) + 4(V_b-E)^{\sqrt{E/\varepsilon_1}} + V_b(V_b+\varepsilon_1)\cosh(2\sqrt{2m(V_b-E)/\hbar})}$$

where $t_f = 0$ and $t_f = \tau_1/2$. Here, $j = 1$.

$$1 - |R_{12}|^2 = \frac{8(V_b-E)^{\sqrt{E/\varepsilon_1}}}{-(V_b-2E)(V_b-2E+\varepsilon_1) + 4(V_b-E)^{\sqrt{E/\varepsilon_1}} + V_b(V_b-\varepsilon_1)\cosh(2\sqrt{2m(V_b-E)/\hbar})}$$

where $t_f = \tau_1/2$ and $t_f = \tau_1$. Here, $j = 2$.

### 3.2. Periodic-Square-Wave Fluctuations of One Period

For an observer with a fluctuating frame of reference by means of one-period periodic-square-wave fluctuations, the corresponding transmission probability, $1 - |R_{1}|^2$, is expressed as

$$1 - |R_{1}|^2 = \begin{cases} 0.5 \left(1 - |R_{11}|^2\right) + 0.5 \left(1 - |R_{12}|^2\right) & \text{for } E \geq \varepsilon_1 \\ 0.5 \left(1 - |R_{11}|^2\right) & \text{for } 0 \leq E < \varepsilon_1 \end{cases}$$

where $t_f = 0$ and $t_f = \tau_1$.

As shown in figure 3, the average transmission probability of a particle with an electron’s mass, $1 - |R_{1}|^2$, is displayed as a function of $E$, where $V_b = 1$ eV [33]. $1 - |R_{1}|^2$ is 0 at $E = 0$ and then gradually increases with $E$ to just above $E = \varepsilon_1$ and is saturated to the transmission probability in the case of the stationary OFR ($\varepsilon_1 = 0$), $1 - |R_0|^2$. In the limit of $\beta L \ll 1$ and $\varepsilon_1 \ll E < V_b$, $1 - |R_{1}|^2 \approx 1$. As an example, for a SPB with $L = 1$ pm, the barrier is transparent at high energies, as shown in figure 3(a). In the limit of $\beta L \gg 1$ and $E \ll \varepsilon_1$, $1 - |R_{1}|^2 \approx \left(8(V_b-E)^{\sqrt{E/\varepsilon_1}}/V_b^2\right)^{\sqrt{-2\varepsilon_1/2m(V_b-E)/\hbar}}$. For a SPB with $L = 1$ nm, overall $1 - |R_{1}|^2$ exponentially increases with increasing $E$ and is distinguished from $1 - |R_0|^2$, as shown in figure 3(b).
3.3. Periodic-Square-Wave Fluctuations at High-Frequency Limits

For an observer with a fluctuating frame of reference by means of periodic-square-wave fluctuations at high-frequency limits, the corresponding transmission probability, \(1 - |R_1|^2\), is expressed as

\[
1 - |R_1|^2 \approx 1 - |R_1|^2, \tag{11}
\]

where \(t_i = 0\) and \(t_f \gg \tau_1\).

4. Average Transmission Probability Through a 1D SPB Under Periodic-Sawtooth-Wave Fluctuations

Given periodic \(E_{ref}(t) = \varepsilon_2 - \frac{2\varepsilon_2}{\tau_2} t\) for \(0 \leq t < \tau_2\) (figure 2(b)), the measured energy for \(E\) at time \(t\), \(E(t)\), where

\[
1 - |R_{2,1}|^2 = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_2} \frac{t^2}{\varepsilon_2 - (V_b - 2E)(V_b - 2E - \varepsilon)+4(V_b - E)^2(V_b + E + \varepsilon)cosh(2\sqrt{2}m(V_b - E)/\hbar)} \left[ \varepsilon_2 + (V_b - 2E)(V_b - 2E - \varepsilon)+4(V_b - E)^2(V_b + E + \varepsilon)cosh(2\sqrt{2}m(V_b - E)/\hbar) \right] \, d\varepsilon,
\]

and

\[
1 - |R_{2,2}|^2 = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_2} \frac{t^2}{\varepsilon_2 - (V_b - 2E)(V_b - 2E - \varepsilon)+4(V_b - E)^2(V_b + E + \varepsilon)cosh(2\sqrt{2}m(V_b - E)/\hbar)} \left[ \varepsilon_2 + (V_b - 2E)(V_b - 2E - \varepsilon)+4(V_b - E)^2(V_b + E + \varepsilon)cosh(2\sqrt{2}m(V_b - E)/\hbar) \right] \, d\varepsilon. \tag{14}
\]

As shown in figure 4, the average transmission probability of a particle with an electron’s mass, \(1 - |R_2|^2\), is displayed as a function of \(E\) where \(V_b = 1\) eV [33]. \(1 - |R_2|^2\) is 0 at \(E = 0\); it gradually increases with \(E\) and is saturated to the transmission probability in the case of the stationary OFR, \(1 - |R_0|^2\). The change of \(1 - |R_2|^2\) with respect to \(E\) around \(\varepsilon_2\) is much slower than in the case of the periodic-square-wave fluctuations, \(1 - |R_1|^2\). In the limit of \(\beta L \ll 1\) and \(\varepsilon_2 \ll E < V_b\), \(1 - |R_2|^2 \approx 1\) (i.e., the barrier is sufficiently thin so as to be transparent). For an SPB with \(L = 1\) pm as an extremely thin barrier, \(1 - |R_2|^2\) is saturated to 1 at high energies, as shown in figure 4(a). Figure 4(b) shows \(1 - |R_2|^2\) as a function of \(E\) for a thicker SPB with \(L = 1\) nm, where the overall \(1 - |R_2|^2\) also exponentially increases with increasing \(E\). In the limit of \(\beta L \gg 1\) and \(E \ll \varepsilon_2\), \(1 - |R_2|^2 \approx \{16(V_b - E)\sqrt{E\varepsilon_2}/3V_b^2}\exp(-2L\sqrt{2m(V_b - E)/\hbar})\), which is clearly distinguished from both \(1 - |R_0|^2\) and \(1 - |R_1|^2\).

4.1. Periodic-Sawtooth-Wave Fluctuations of One Period

For an observer with a fluctuating frame of reference by means of one-period periodic-sawtooth-wave fluctuations, the corresponding transmission probability, \(1 - |R_2|^2\), is expressed as

\[
1 - |R_2|^2 = \begin{cases} 
(1 - |R_{2,1}|^2) & \text{for } E \geq \varepsilon_2, \\
(1 - |R_{2,2}|^2) & \text{for } 0 \leq E < \varepsilon_2,
\end{cases} \tag{13}
\]

where \(t_i = 0\) and \(t_f \gg \tau_2\).

Figure 4. Average transmission probability of a particle with an electron’s mass through the 1D SPB under the periodic-sawtooth-wave fluctuations, \(1 - |R_2|^2\), as a function of \(E\) at (a) \(L=1\) pm and (b) \(L=1\) nm. Here, \(\varepsilon_2 = 0.01\) meV (light gray), 0.1 meV (gray), and 1 meV (black). The dotted lines denote \(1 - |R_0|^2\). \(V_b\) is set as 1 eV.
5. Conclusions

In this paper, tunneling through an SPB was studied under the periodic fluctuations of an OFR. A particle’s average transmission probability was quantitatively calculated. Furthermore, the average transmission probability was formulated for two types of fluctuations in time representations, namely, periodic-square-wave fluctuation and periodic-sawtooth-wave fluctuations, based on the assumption that the reference of the particle’s energy was matched to the OFR. Under periodic-square-wave fluctuations of one period or at high-frequency limits, the average transmission probability was 0 at the energy of the particle, E = 0; it gradually increased with the increasing energy of the particle, rapidly increased just above the amplitude of the fluctuations, and saturated to the transmission probability in the case of the stationary OFR. Similarly, under periodic-sawtooth-wave fluctuations of one period or at high-frequency limits, the average transmission probability gradually increased with the increasing energy of the particle but showed a much smoother increase above the amplitude of the fluctuations. Therefore, the average transmission probability through an SPB with a particle’s energy provides clear criteria for characterizing the distribution of OFR fluctuations.
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